Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/796,947	AMAR ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michele K. Joike, Ph.D.	1636
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) Michele K. Joike, Ph.D.	(3)	
(2) Todd Armstrong, Ph.D.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 November 2007	Time: <u>1:00 p.m.</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None	·	
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Permission was requested for an Examiner's Amendme	· -	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.	•	
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sedirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sedid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief state of the provide as a sediment of the provide as</li></ul>	tion. The examiner will provide a parate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance f the interview, since the interview
		•
		·
·	nuclule K Jol	ال
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		